

Education

Habilitation “Democracy and democratization in advanced countries, less developed countries, and at the global scale”, accepted by the WISO-Fakultät of the University of Bern, June 2010.

Dr. rer. soc., University of Bern (Switzerland), March 2004 (predicate: “summa cum laude”).

Lic. phil., History, Political Science, Media, University of Bern, 1999 (predicate: “very good”).

Matura, Gymnasium Bern-Kirchenfeld 1990.

Professional Experience

Fellow at the Hanse Wissenschaftskolleg (Delmenhorst), January-May 2012.

Member of the Study Group “Dynamik von kollektiven Entscheidungen”, Hanse Wissenschaftskolleg (Delmenhorst), 2012-2015.

Förderprofessur des Schweizerischen Nationalfonds (SNF) an der Universität Luzern (Start: August 2010).

Member of Steering Committee of Standing Group on Democratic Innovations des ECPR (European Consortium for Political Research), 2011 to present.

Vertretungsprofessur “Politikwissenschaft, insbesondere Politische Theorie”, University of Konstanz, October 2009-February 2010.

Sprecher des Arbeitskreises “Handlungs- und Entscheidungstheorie” der DVPW (Deutscher Vereinigung für Politikwissenschaft), 2009 to present.

Visiting Scholar in the Political Science Program (Research School of Social Sciences “RSSS”), Australian National University (Canberra), January to February 2009.

Lecturer (“Lehrbeauftragter”) at the University of Lucerne for Comparative Politics, October 2006 to present.

Lecturer (“Lehrbeauftragter”) at the ETH Zürich, October 2006 to January 2007.

Research Assistant (50%) in the project “Democratic Emergence and Survival in Less Developed Countries (Prof. Wolf Linder, sponsored by the Swiss National Science Foundation); Institute of Political Science, University of Bern, January 2007-December 2008.

Senior Assistant (50-100%) at the Institute of Political Science, University of Bern, November 2004 to present.

Assistant (50%) of the Vice-Rector “Research” (Prof. Dr. Felix Frey) of the University of Bern, September 2005 to August 2006 .

Swiss Chair/Jean Monnet Fellow at the European University Institute (Florence), January 2004 to October 2004 .

Research Scholar at the Department of Political Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, September 2002 to September 2003.

Research Assistant (50%) in the project “Cultural and Political Foundations of Socio-economic Development in Africa and Asia (Prof. Wolf Linder und Prof. Hans-Peter Müller; sponsored by the Swiss National Science Foundation); Institute of Political Science, University of Bern, February 2002-July 2002.

Research Assistant (50%) in the project “The Consociational Theory and Ethics in the Public Discourse. A Crossnational Analysis” (Prof. Jürg Steiner; sponsored by the Swiss National Science Foundation); Institute of Political Science, University of Bern, November 1999-December 2001.

Student Assistant and Assistant (25-50%) at the Institute of History, University of Bern (Prof. Judit Garamvölgyi), November 1998-August 1999.

Journalist at Radio Canal 3, Biel (Switzerland), 1996-1998.

Conferences

Workshop Director “Advanced Empirical Study of Deliberation”, ECPR Joint Sessions, St. Gallen, Switzerland, May 7-12, 2011.

Panel Director “Experiments with Deliberative Mini-Publics”, ECPR General Conference, Potsdam, Germany, September 2009.

Organizer of the Workshop “Unity and Diversity in Deliberative Democracy”, BIDS (Bern Interdisciplinary Center for Deliberative Studies), University of Bern, October 4, 2008. Among the participants were John S. Dryzek (Australian National University) and Dennis Thompson (Harvard University).

Workshop Director “Advanced Empirical Study of Deliberation”, ECPR Joint Sessions, Helsinki, Finland, May 7-12, 2007.

Co-Organizer of the Conference “Empirical Approaches to Deliberative Politics”, European University Institute, May 21-22, 2004.

Institution-Building

“Bern Interdisciplinary Center for Deliberative Studies” (BIDS; University of Bern; <http://www.bids.unibe.ch>)

The BIDS provides an institutional framework for advanced studies on deliberation linking political science and law, The mission of the BIDS is to advance the understanding of deliberation, to stimulate interdisciplinary and empirical research on deliberation, to organize workshops on deliberative democracy, and to establish an international network of deliberative scholars. The BIDS is internationally linked with “Deliberative Democracy ANU” and “Democracy: A Citizen Perspective. An Interdisciplinary Centre of Excellence” (Abo Akademi, Finland). The BIDS has organized the Workshop “Unity and Diversity in Deliberative Democracy” (October 2008) and a visit and lecture from Jane Mansbridge (Harvard University) in November 2009. In summer 2012, the BIDS will merge into the “Swiss Network for Deliberation”.

Members: André Bächtiger (University of Lucerne), Marco R. Steenbergen (University of Zurich), Lucio Baccaro (University of Geneva), Axel Tschentscher (University of Bern), and Jürg Steiner (University of Bern/Chapel Hill at North Carolina).

Study Group on “Micro and Macro Dynamics of Deliberation” at the Hanse Wissenschaftskolleg (Delmenhorst); April 2012 – March 2015)

The study group attempts to push deliberative ideals – such as reasoned dialogue, inclusion, epistemic fruitfulness, and acceptance - to new levels of analytical and methodological sophistication. It starts from the premise that deliberation is driven both by micro and macro dynamics. It explores novel ways of how we can model, measure, and analyze micro and macro dynamics and their complex interplay. With regard to micro dynamics, the goal is to focus on the epistemic and acceptability effects of different communication modes and formats - contestation, consensus, or interactive discussion. With regard to macro dynamics, the goal is to identify and describe political systems that fulfill desired deliberative functions as well as to find appropriate ways of measuring macro deliberation. With regard to the interplay of micro and macro dynamics, the goal is to engage in in-depth case studies and simulations in order to unravel the working of “deliberative systems”.

Members: André Bächtiger (University of Lucerne, John Parkinson (Warwick University), Michael Neblo (Ohio State University), Susumu Shikano (University of Konstanz, Bernhard Kittel (University of Vienna), Jane Mansbridge (Harvard University)

Grants and Awards

SNF Professorship (Swiss National Science Foundation), February 2010.
Fellowship for Prospective Researchers (Swiss National Science Foundation), awarded by the research committee of the University of Bern, June 2002.

Seminar prize of the Philosophical-Historical Faculty of the University of Bern for the paper “Gesellschaftliche Interessen, individuelle Zielvorstellungen und Entscheidungsdilemmata: Der schwierige Weg nach Europa. Die Messina-Resolution und der Spaak-Bericht als Spiegel innenpolitischer Interessen”, November 1998. 4

Informal Prizes *Eva* for the best course (winter term 2001/02, 2003/04, 2004/05 & 2005/06 for Proseminar “Theories and Methods in Political Science and “Political Theories”; summer term 2005 for Proseminar “Democratization”; winter term 05/06 for Seminar “Schweiz im Wandel”) at the Institute of Political Science (University of Bern). Nominated for the Teacher of the Year 2011, University of Lucerne

Invited Talks (Recent)

Talk on “The Deliberative Citizen in Action? Evidence from a Transnational Poll (Europolis)”, University of Frankfurt, April 2012 and University of Oldenburg, May 2012.

Plenary Lecture: “Measuring Democratic Deliberation”, IESA Conference on Participation, Cordoba, November 2011.

Paper presentation “Contestatory Deliberation”, Conference on Epistemic Democracy, Yale University, October 2011.

Paper presentation “Measuring Deliberation”, IPA Conference, Cardiff, June 2011.

Talk on “Measuring Political Deliberation”, University of Geneva, January 2011.

Doctoral course on “Arguments and Advances” in Democratic Theory, organized by the D:CE (Democracy: A Citizen Perspective), Åbo Akademi (Turku, Finland), December 2010.

Talk on “Contestatory Deliberation”, University College London, November 2010.

Lecture on “Content and Discourse Analysis as Methods for the Study of Parliamentary Deliberations“, ECPR Summer School on Parliaments, University of Bamberg, August 2010.

Keynote Speaker at the Conference “Deliberative and Participatory Democracy in the UK”, Glasgow Caledonian University, June 2010.

Harvard University, Kennedy School of Government (February 2010): Talk on “What Happens in Legislative Debates? A Deliberative Analysis of Two Contrasting Examples”

Arena, University of Oslo (January 2010): Talk on “New ways of measuring deliberation: discourse types and sequencing”

University of Turku. Talk on Discourse Quality Index 2: An updated measurement instrument for deliberative processes, May 2009.

RSSS seminars at the Australian National University (Canberra); talks on “A new measure of political communication” and “Deliberative Reforms in the Political System”, January and February 2009.

EPOP conference, Panel “Elections, Public Opinion and Parties”, 12-14 September 2008 at Manchester.

Mannheimer Zentrum für Sozialforschung (March 2008): Talk on „Labels vs. Mechanisms: Institutional Research in the Crossfire“.

Max Planck Institut für Sozialforschung (Köln), Workshop “Legislativdaten” (October 2007): Paper presentation „Mixing Habermas with Bayes: Methodological and Theoretical Advances in the Study of Deliberation“.

APSA 2007 (Chicago), Roundtable on “What Do Empirical Researchers Need from Philosophers?” (Organizers: Kirsten Monroe & Mark Warren): Talk on “Two Types of Deliberation”.

University Duisburg-Essen, Institute of Political Science (Rüdiger Schmitt-Beck, March 2007): Presentation of the „Discourse Quality Index” (DQI) and student training with the DQI.

Reviewer

American Political Science Review, American Journal of Political Science, Journal of Politics, European Journal of Political Research, European Political Science Review, Journal of Political Philosophy, Publius, Political Studies, International Political Science Review, European Union Politics, Journal of Public Policy, Acta Politica, Scandinavian Political Studies, Politische Vierteljahresschrift, Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft.

References

Prof. Dr. Jane J. Mansbridge
John F. Kennedy School of Government
Mailbox 103
79 JFK Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
Phone: +001 617 495 9343
Email: jane_mansbridge@Harvard.Edu

Prof. Dr. Robert E. Goodin
Department of Government
University of Essex
Wivenhoe Park
Colchester CO4 3SQ
United Kingdom
Phone: +44 1206 872745
Email: regoodin@essex.ac.uk

“Deliberative Reforms in the Political System” (SNF-Professorship). Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*, August 2010-July 2014.

In this project, I propose a new vision for democratic politics, dubbed "noble politics". "Noble politics" has strong roots in deliberative democracy, and its goal is to advance two essential aims of deliberation, namely epistemic fruitfulness and consensual outcomes. Contrary to classic definitions of deliberation, noble politics" is not just about a small and socially homogeneous "gentlemen's club" with "calm consideration" of the issues at hand and a strong gear towards consensus; rather, it puts a strong prime on passionate contestation and confrontation in a highly diverse setting. As psychological and philosophical scholarship argues, both contestatory forms of engagement and cognitive diversity in groups may produce epistemically superior outcomes compared to constructive dialogue in the context of homogeneous groups. Yet contestatory forms of engagement may eventually undermine consensual outcomes. Therefore, "noble politics" leave ample room for finding consensual outcomes, but it makes these consensual outcomes dependent on a prior exposure of participants to an adversarial and rigid inquiry. The concept of "noble politics" will be explored via experimental and observational research. In concrete, the project will test how specific political constellations (coalition vs. government-opposition settings) interact with specific communication styles (contestation vs. constructive dialogue) and group composition (high vs. low degree of cognitive diversity) to produce both epistemically superior and consensual outcomes.

“Deliberative Experiments and Direct Democratic Voting” (with Marco R. Steenbergen and Thomas Gautschi). Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* in the second round of the *NCCR “Challenges to Democracy in the 21st Century”*, October 2009-

This project tests the counterfactual how Swiss citizens would think and vote about a contested issue in direct democracy if the discussion were based on the merits of the different positions rather than on a purely competitive and rhetorical encounter (as in standard public debate). The goal of the project is to create a "deliberative condition", that is a group discussion moderated by a neutral facilitator charged with upholding reasoned discussion. We explore whether a deliberative condition has a transformative effect on citizens' preferences in direction of desired deliberative outcomes (such as other-regarding attitudes, and attitudes of respect and tolerance). Between October and December 2010, the project team conducted a deliberative field experiment on the expulsion initiative ("Ausschaffungsinitiative") of the Swiss People's Party (SVP) and the counterproposal of the government and parliament. Contrary to most deliberative experiments, deliberation took place in an online chat. We found that the online discussion group became more favorable of the counterproposal, especially compared to a control group which was only exposed to the campaign; in the control group, the approval rate for the counterproposal steadily declined from the first to the last survey.

“Potential for deliberation among EU citizens” (with Marco R. Steenbergen and Jürg Steiner). Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*, May 2010-April 2013.

This project evaluates the quality of citizen deliberation in the context of a pan-European deliberative poll, called Europolis. Europolis was carried out in Brussels in late May 2009. Almost 400 EU citizens from all 27 EU-countries were assembled to discuss the topics of third country migration and climate change during three days. Our project engages with the ongoing controversy in the literature whether transnational and cross-cultural deliberation can work and whether ordinary citizens have the requisite abilities to engage in high quality deliberation, i.e., to advance complex arguments with a focus on the common good and to listen to other participants' arguments with respect. This project represents one of the few attempts to take an informed look at the actual process of deliberation in the small discussion groups. First results indicate that a considerable number of ordinary European citizens were able to reach our pre-defined standards of deliberative quality. Nevertheless, some important biases show up: we found a periphery- (or, culture)-class bias in the ability of deliberating: compared to higher class participants from Western Europe, working class participants from Eastern and Southern Europe were less able to reach the pre-defined standards of Habermasian rational discourse.

“Deliberation, Discourse Quality and Attitude Change: A Proposal for a Field Experiment”. Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*, October 2010-October 2012.

The principal aim of this project is to produce an assessment of the causal effects of deliberation. The research project pairs a field experiment involving citizen and stakeholder discussion with an observational study of an unfolding political decision-making process, the Geneva Constituent Assembly. There will be discussions in experimental groups on some of the same constitutional issues dealt with in the Assembly. These will be held during a period of public consultation on the draft cantonal constitution that the Assembly is due to produce. In the experimental part of the project, we aim to test the hypothesis that deliberation, defined as a discursive decision-making procedure characterized by certain distinct structural, institutional and behavioural constraints, will (i) increase the participants' factual knowledge of the issue under discussion; (ii) increase the degree to which participants' attitudes are 'other-regarding'; and (iii) increase participants' respect for their fellow participants and their tolerance of differing views. In the experiment, our ultimate aim is to distinguish systematically between the effects of some of the constituent elements of deliberation, and in particular between deliberatively-moderated and unstructured discussion. We also aim to

measure the ‘deliberativeness’ of the discussions. This will allow us to assess whether a deliberative process increases the quality of discourse relative to free-form discussion, and whether the positive effects of deliberation on outcomes, if any, are mediated by increased discourse quality. The effect of partisanship will be studied via a similar study of ‘stakeholders’ - the representatives of organised groups that have taken a position on the issue under discussion. The observational part of the project will follow the development of the Geneva Constituent Assembly’s policy on the issue discussed by our experimental citizen and stakeholder groups over a period of 24 months. We will observe the Assembly’s discussions directly and will code transcripts of discussions and other textual material, again using the DQI. We will then be in a position to compare both the quality of discourse and the substantive conclusions and recommendations of our citizen and stakeholder groups with the discussion in, and policies finally adopted by the Assembly itself.

“Deliberative Democracy in the Public Sphere: Achieving Deliberative Outcomes in mass publics Aims and Background” (Principal Investigator: Simon Niemeyer; with Robert E. Goodin, John S. Dryzek, David Schlosberg, Kersty Hobson, and Maija Setälä). Project sponsored by the *Australian Research Council*, October 2012-September 2015.

This project will investigate the mechanisms and settings that facilitate the same deliberative outcomes achieved in small group deliberation among the wider population, or public sphere, using the issue of climate change policy as a case study. It will address the question systematically by testing different kinds of dialogue and deliberative design features for their transformative effect. It will then explore which features can be harnessed to scale up deliberation into the public sphere. The implications cover a wide variety of issues, from the role of the media, the internet, and the potential for minipublics to act as proxy opinion leaders. It will also critically assess deliberative capacity under existing institutional settings.

“Religion in der Öffentlichkeit: Die Rolle organisierter Religionsgemeinschaften bei politischen Entscheiden im direktdemokratischen Prozess. Zur zivilgesellschaftlichen Funktion von Religion in der Schweiz am Beispiel von Volksabstimmungen” (with Judith Könemann & Ansgar Jödicke). Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation* (NFP 58 “Religionen in der Schweiz”), January 2008-December 2009.

There is a vigorous debate in political philosophy whether religious actors must frame their religious convictions in terms of secular reason or whether they should be allowed to introduce religiously grounded beliefs into public political argument without any constraints. Yet, systematic and empirical research on this topic has lagged behind. Our project attempts to close this gap. We focus on the public statements of religious actors in the context of Swiss direct democratic votes on abortion and immigration (1970s-2000s). Our empirical findings reveal an interesting gap: while many political philosophers and religious thinkers have moved to a position where religious actors can (and even should) openly employ religious arguments, the practice of religious actors in Switzerland is different. Especially the large denominations of Catholics and Protestants have a tendency to use a large amount of secular vocabulary. In addition, our findings also reveal that the use of religious or secular reason varies considerably according to different issues, different media types (religious vs. secular press), different religious traditions, and different media genres, while there is no clear time trend.

“Democratic Emergence and Survival in Less Developed Societies” (with Wolf Linder). Project sponsored by the *Swiss National Science Foundation*, November 2006-December 2008.

“Comparative Project on Federal-Municipal Relations” (Harvey Lazar & Christian Leuprecht, Queen’s University, Kingston (Ontario, Canada)).

“Globalisierung und Neue Soziale Bewegungen” (with Hanspeter Kriesi, Michelle Beyeler, Emanuel von Erlach and Markus Spörndli). This project was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Seminar “Innovative Democratization”, University of Lucerne, 2012.

Lecture “Political Theory”, University of Bern, 2009.

Seminar “Deliberative Democracy”, University of Bern, 2009.

Seminar “Democratization in Africa and Asia”, University of Konstanz 2008/2009.

Proseminar “Political Theory”, University of Konstanz 2008/2009.

Proseminar “Democratization”, University of Konstanz 2008/2009.

Lecture “Introduction to Comparative Politics”, University of Lucerne, 2006/07, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011.

Proseminar “Methods”, University of Lucerne, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011.

Seminar Comparative Democratization, University of Lucerne, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010.

Lecture “Introduction” to Political Science, ETH Zürich, 2006/07.

Seminar “Culture, Development, and Democracy in Third World Countries” University of Bern 2006/07, 2007, 2008, 2009.

Methodological Seminar “System Transformation – Democratization”, University of Lucerne 2007 & 2008.

Seminar “Ideal and Real Democracy”, University of Bern 2006.

Lecture “Introduction to Political Science, University of Bern (with Wolf Linder & Georg Lutz), 2005/06.

Seminar “Schweiz im Wandel”, University of Bern, 2005/06.

Seminar “Discourse Ethics and Deliberative Democracy”, University of Bern, 2004 & 2005.

Seminar Co-Lecturer (with Jürg Steiner): “Theories of Federalism, Consociationalism and Deliberative Politics”, EUI, 2004.

Proseminar “Democratization”, University of Bern, 2005, 2006, 2007 & 2008.

Proseminar “Political Theories”, University of Bern, 2003/04, 2004/05 & 2005/06.

Proseminar “Theories and Methods in Political Science”, University of Bern, 2001/02.

Seminar Co-Lecturer (with Jürg Steiner) “Discourse Ethics and Deliberative Democracy”, University of Bern, 1999, 2000, 2001.

Books

Bächtiger, André and John Parkinson (forthcoming 2014). *Mapping and Measuring Deliberation. Micro and macro knowledge of deliberative quality, dynamics and contexts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bächtiger, André, Kimmo Grönlund, and Maija Setälä (eds., 2012). *Deliberative Mini-Publics*. ECPR Press.

Steiner, Jürg, André Bächtiger, Markus Spörndli and Marco R. Steenbergen (2004). *Deliberative Politics in Action. Analysing Parliamentary Discourse*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bächtiger, André (2005). *The Real World of Deliberation. A Comparative Study of its Favorable Conditions in Legislatures*. Bern: Paul Haupt.

Peer-reviewed articles

Bächtiger, André, Judith Könemann, Ansgar Jödicke, and Dominik Hangartner (2012; with Roger Husstein, Melanie Zurlinden, Seraina Pedrini, Mirjam Cranmer, and Kathrin Schwaller). “Religious reasons in the public sphere: an empirical study of religious actors’ argumentative patterns in Swiss direct-democratic campaigns.” Forthcoming *European Political Science Review*.

Eisenkopf, Gerald und André Bächtiger (2012). “Mediation and Conflict Prevention”. Forthcoming *Journal of Conflict Resolution*.

Dryzek, John, André Bächtiger, and Karolina Milewicz (2011). “Toward a Deliberative Global Citizens’ Assembly.” *Global Policy* 2: 33-42.

Bächtiger, André, Simon Niemeyer, Michael Neblo, Jürg Steiner, and Marco R. Steenbergen (2010). “Disentangling Diversity in Deliberative Democracy: Competing Theories, their Blind-spots, and Complementarities.” *Journal of Political Philosophy* 18: 32-63.

Bächtiger, André and Dominik Hangartner (2010). “When Deliberative Theory Meets Political Science. Theoretical and Methodological Challenges in the Study of a Philosophical Ideal.” *Political Studies* 58: 609-629.

Milewicz, Karolina, André Bächtiger, and Arne Nothdurft (2010). “Constitutional Pluralism or Constitutional Unity? An empirical study of international commitment (1945-2007).” *Review of International Studies* 36: 305–336.

Bächtiger, André, Seraina Pedrini und Mirjam Ryser (2010). “Prozessanalyse politischer Entscheidungen: Diskurstypen und Sequenzialisierung.” *Jahrbuch für Handlungs- und Entscheidungstheorie*.

Tschentscher, Axel, André Bächtiger, Marco R. Steenbergen, and Jürg Steiner (2010). “Argumentation and Legislation.” *Legisprudence - International Journal for the Study of Legislation* 4: 13-34.

Bächtiger, André, Dominik Hangartner, Pia Hess, and Céline Fraefel (2008). “Patterns of Parliamentary Discourse: How Deliberative are German Legislative Debates?” *German Politics* 17: 270-292.

Hangartner, Dominik, André Bächtiger, Rita Grünenfelder, and Marco R. Steenbergen (2007). “Mixing Habermas with Bayes: Methodological and Theoretical Advances in the Study of Deliberation.” *Swiss Journal of Political Science* 13: 607-44 (Special Issue “Deliberative Democracy”, Guest Editors: André Bächtiger, Marco R. Steenbergen & Simon Niemeyer).

Bächtiger, André, Markus Spörndli, Marco R. Steenbergen and Jürg Steiner (2005). “The Deliberative Dimensions of Legislatures.” *Acta Politica* 40/2 (Special Issue “Empirical Approaches to Deliberative Democracy”, Guest Editors: André Bächtiger & Jürg Steiner)

Bächtiger, André and Jürg Steiner (2005). “Introduction.” *Acta Politica* 40/2 (Special Issue “Empirical Approaches to Deliberative Democracy”, Guest Editors: André Bächtiger & Jürg Steiner)

Linder, Wolf and André Bächtiger (2005). “What Drives Democratisation in Africa and Asia?” *European Journal of Political Research* 44: 861-880.

Steenbergen, Marco R., André Bächtiger, Markus Spörndli, and Jürg Steiner (2003). “Measuring Political Deliberation. A Discourse Quality Index.” *Comparative European Politics* 1: 21-48. 11

Peer-Reviewed Working Papers

Bächtiger, André and Marco R. Steenbergen (2004). "The Real World of Deliberation. A Comparative Study of Its Favorable Conditions in Legislatures." EUI Working Paper SPS No. 2004/17.

Other Articles

Bächtiger, André (2012). "Deliberation, Discourse, and the Study of Legislatures". In: Strøm, Kaare, Saalfeld, Thomas, and Shane Martin (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Legislative Studies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bächtiger, André and Alda Wegmann (2012). "Scaling up Deliberation". In: Elstub, Stephen and Peter McLaverty (eds.), *Deliberative Democracy: Issues and Cases*. Forthcoming Edinburgh University Press.

Bächtiger, André and Seraina Pedrini (2010). "Dissecting Deliberative Democracy: A Review of Normative Concepts and Empirical Findings." In: Ikeda, Ken'ichi, Laura Morales and Michael Wolf (eds.), *The Role of Political Discussion in Modern Democracies in a Comparative Perspective*. London: Routledge.

Schwarz, Daniel, André Bächtiger and Georg Lutz (2010). "Switzerland: Agenda-Setting Power of the Government in a Separation-of-Powers Framework." In: Rasch, Jan Erik and George Tsebelis (eds.), *The Role of Governments in Legislative Agenda Setting*. Forthcoming London: Routledge.

Bächtiger, André (2009). "Transitions- und Demokratieforschung: Eine Reise ins Herz der afrikanischen und asiatischen Demokratien." In: Sager, Fritz, Adrian Vatter und Frédéric Varone (Hg.). *Demokratie als Leidenschaft. Planung, Entscheidung und Vollzug in der schweizerischen Demokratie*. Festschrift Wolf Linder. Bern: Paul Haupt.

Bächtiger, André, Seraina Pedrini and Mirjam Ryser (2009). "Religion und Politik. Säkularisierung, Pluralisierung und deliberative Visionen." In: Könemann, Judith und Adrian Loretan (Hg.), *Religiöse Vielfalt und der Religionsfrieden. Herausforderung für die christlichen Kirchen*. Zürich: Theologischer Verlag.

Bächtiger, André (2008). "Multiarchivische Erforschung internationaler Organisationen: Methodische und praktische Überlegungen am Beispiel der Gründung der Weltgesundheitsorganisation." Schweizerisches Bundesarchiv (Hrsg.), *Internationale Überlieferungsbildung, Dossier, Band 1*. Zürich: Chronos Verlag. 12

Bächtiger, André, Markus Spörndli, Marco R. Steenbergen and Jürg Steiner (2007). "Deliberation in Legislatures. Antecedents and Outcomes." In: Rosenberg, Shawn (ed.), *Deliberation, Participation and Democracy. Can the People Govern?* London: Palgrave-Macmillan.

Bächtiger, André and Anina Hitz (2007). "The Matrix Extended: Federal-Municipal Relations in Switzerland." In: Lazar, Harvey and Christian Leuprecht (eds.), *Spheres of Government*. Queens University Press.

Bächtiger, André (2007). "Deliberation und Multifunktionalität." In: Wüstemann, Henry, Stefan Mann und Klaus Müller (Hg.), *Multifunktionalität. Von der Wohlfahrtsökonomie zu neuen Ufern*. München: Oekom.

Bächtiger, André und Axel Tschentscher (2007). "Deliberative Demokratie zwischen Faktizität und Geltung." In: Becchi, Paolo, Christoph Beat Graber, Michele Luminati (Hg.), *Interdisziplinäre Wege in der juristischen Grundlagenforschung*. Luzern: Schulthess.

Bächtiger, André and Jürg Steiner (2004). "Switzerland: Territorial Cleavage Management as Paragon and Paradox." In: Amoretti, Ugo and Nancy Bermeo (ed.), *Federalism and Territorial Cleavages*. Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 27-54.

Bächtiger, André, Markus Spörndli and Jürg Steiner (2002). "The Consociational Theory and Ethics of Public Discourse. A Crossnational Analysis." In: Brooks, Stephen (ed.), *Conflict and Compromise in Pluralist Democracies: Essays in Honour of Kenneth D. McRae*. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger, pp. 77-94.

Reviews

Bächtiger, André (2007). Review of "Daniel Ziblatt. Structuring the State: The Formation of Italy and Germany and the Puzzle of Federalism". *The International History Review* 14: 385-87.

Other Articles

Bächtiger, André (2011). “Contestatory Deliberation”. Paper presented at the 2010 Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, Washington (DC), September 2-5, 2007, and the Conference on *Epistemic Democracy*, Yale University (October 2011). To be submitted to the *British Journal of Political Science*.

Pedrini, Seraina, André Bächtiger, and Marco R. Steenbergen (2011). “Deliberative Inclusion of Minorities: Patterns of Reciprocity among Linguistic Groups in Switzerland. *R&R at European Political Science Review*.

Gerber, Marlène, André Bächtiger, and Susumu Shikano (2011). “The Deliberative Citizen in Action? Evidence from a transnational deliberative poll (Europolis).” Paper presented at the 6th ECPR General Conference, Reykjavik, August 25.27, 2011. Under Review at *American Sociological Review*.

Milewicz, Karolina, André Bächtiger, and Arne Nothdurft (2009). “Hard Men and Soft Law. An Advanced Commitment Analysis of Quasi-constitutional International Agreements in the Age of Hegemony.” Manuscript.

Bächtiger, André, Shawn Rosenberg, Seraina Pedrini, Mirjam Ryser, Molly Patterson, and Marco R. Steenbergen (2009). “Discourse Quality Index 2: An updated measurement instrument for deliberative processes.” Paper prepared for the MPSA Annual Conference, April 2-5, 2009, Chicago and at the ECPR General Conference, September 10-12, 2009, Potsdam.

Pedrini, Seraina and André Bächtiger (2009). “Deliberative Inclusion of Minorities: Equality and Reciprocity among Linguistic Groups in Switzerland. Paper prepared for the Measuring Representation Conference.” Berne, Switzerland. November 12-14, 2009.

Bächtiger, André and Dominik Hangartner (2007). “Institutions, Culture and Deliberative Ideals.” Paper presented at the 2007 Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, August 30th-September 2nd, 2007.

Leemann, Lucas, André Bächtiger and Dominik Hangartner (2007). “Labels vs. Mechanisms. Institutional Research in the Crossfire.” Manuscript.

Bächtiger, André, Dominik Hangartner, Pia Hess, and Céline Fraefel (2007). “Democracy Survival in Africa and Asia: Exploring the Role of Neo-Evolutionism, Ethnicity, Modernization Theory, and Institutionalism.” Paper prepared for the General Conference of the ECPR, 6-8 September, 2007, Pisa (Italy). 14

Grünenfelder, Rita and André Bächtiger (2007). “Gendered Deliberation? How Men and Women Deliberate in Legislatures.” Paper prepared for the ECPR Joint Sessions in Helsinki, May 2007, Workshop 23: “Advanced Empirical Study of Deliberation”.

Bächtiger, André, Daniel Schwarz and Georg Lutz (2006). “Parliamentary Practices in Presidentialism? A Swiss Perspective on Governance in a Separation of Powers Framework.” Paper presented at the Joint Sessions of the ECPR, 25-30 April, 2006, Nicosia, Cyprus. Workshop “Parliamentary Practices in Presidential Systems”

Bächtiger, André and Dominik Hangartner (2005). “Democracy Survival in Africa and Asia: Exploring the Role of Neo-Evolutionism, Modernization Theory, and Institutionalism with Event History Analysis.” Paper presented at the 3rd ECPR General Conference, Budapest, 8-10 September.

Bächtiger, André and Dominik Hangartner (2005). “When Political Philosophy Meets Political Science: Theoretical and Methodological Challenges in the Study of a Philosophical Ideal.” Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Swiss Political Science Association, Balsthal, 18-19 November, 2004, at the 3rd ECPR General Conference, Budapest, 8-10 September.

Schwarz, Daniel, André Bächtiger, and Georg Lutz (2005). “Informal Agenda-Setting Power of Governments: The Swiss Case. Paper presented at the ECPR Workshop “The Role of Governments in Legislative Agenda Setting” (George Tsebelis & Jan-Erik Rasch), Granada, 14-19 April, 2005.

Steenbergen, Marco R., André Bächtiger, Markus Spörndli, and Jürg Steiner (2004). “Toward a Political Psychology of Deliberation.” Paper presented at the EUI’s Swiss Chair Conference on Empirical Approaches to Deliberative Politics, 21-22 May.

Steiner Jürg, André Bächtiger and Markus Spörndli (2001). “The Real World of Deliberation. Favourable Conditions and Substantive Outcomes.” Paper presented at the 1st ECPR General Conference, Canterbury (UK), 6-8 September.

Steiner, Jürg and André Bächtiger (2000). “Competitive and Cooperative Federalism. The Case of Switzerland and a Crossnational Project.” Presented at the Princeton Conference on Territorial Cleavage Management (organizers: Ugo M. Amoretti and Nancy Bermeo), 26-27 February. 15

Miscellaneous

Bächtiger, André, Marco Steenbergen, Thomas Gautschi, and Seraina Pedrini. Deliberation in Swiss direct democracy: A field experiment on the expulsion initiative. *NCCR Newsletter*, No 8, February 2011, S.

Bächtiger, André, Deliberation in der direkten Demokratie: Ein deliberatives Feldexperiment zur Ausschaffungsinitiative. *UniluAktuell*, Nr. 36, Mai 2011, S. 4-5.

Bächtiger, André: Interview with Swissinfo: Deliberation - Bürger politisieren auf hohem Niveau, 10. April 2011.

Bächtiger, André and Markus Spörndli (2004). "Direkte contra deliberative Demokratie. Politische Entscheidungen im Lichte der Diskursethik." *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, June 17, 2004, p. 16.